

Supplementary material to Primary aluminum-air flow battery for high-power applications: Optimization of power and self-discharge

Dayatri Bolaños-Picado^{1,2}, Cindy Torres^{1,3} and Diego González-Flores^{2,3,4,✉}

¹Departamento de Ingeniería Química, 11501 2060, Sabanilla de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica

²Centro de Electroquímica y Energía Química (CELEQ), Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501 2060, Sabanilla de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica

³Centro de Investigación en Ciencia e Ingeniería de Materiales (CICIMA), 11501 2060, Sabanilla de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica

⁴Escuela de Química, Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501 2060, San Pedro de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica

J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. **13(6)** (2023) 895-909 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5599/jese.2075>

Unit cell design

In Figure S-1, the dynamic characteristics of the electrolyte flow for the first and the last models are shown. Through image processing, it was determined that in the first model 31 % of the anode surface was not effectively exposed to the circulating electrolyte. That percentage was reduced to 17 % in the last model. Effective electrolyte exchange is important because the larger the anode area in contact with a fresh electrolyte, the better the cell performance [1].




Figure S-1. Electrolyte domain simulation in COMSOL Multiphysics 5.5

The first unit cell consisted of three structures, one for each battery component. Figure S-2 shows the construction plan with the following color coding: blue for the cathode, green for the electrolyte, and red for the anode. Each main piece was designed with a square geometry, with 6.38 cm per side. Once assembled, the total thickness of the cell was 1.13 cm, of which 0.57 cm corresponded to the electrolytic structure.




Figure S-3. Construction plan of the unit cell


Evaluation of the recirculation system in the operation of unit cell

To determine if there is a significant difference in the operating time of the cell when the electrolyte is in circulation or not, a Student's T-test was performed with unequal variances in the case of 4 mol L⁻¹ KOH and a Student's T-test with equal variances in the case of 4 mol L⁻¹ KOH + 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄VO₃ (See Table S-1).

Table S-1. Student's T-test results for cell discharge time data obtained with and without electrolyte recirculation

Battery system	T (Student's T-test)	p-value
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH	3.33	0.04
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 1 mol L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	35.45	1.89×10 ⁻⁶

Through *p*-value, it can be concluded with 95 % confidence that the operating time when the cell has the electrolyte in circulation is significantly higher.



1 Power supply, 2 Unit cell, 3 Connectors, 4 Electrodes, 5 Hose, 6 Mohr's pinch clamp, 7 Peristaltic pump, 8 Storage tank

Figure S-4. Experimental diagram used in the construction of discharge curves without electrolyte flow




Figure S-5. Unit cell discharge curves and capacity values obtained using a) $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ and b) $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ in a system without electrolyte flow, at current density equal to 3.33 mA cm^{-2}

Potassium hydroxide concentration evaluation

To determine if there is a significant difference in the power and current density obtained by the cell according to the concentration of the potassium hydroxide solution, a Student's *T*-test was performed with unequal variances.

Table S-2. Student's *T*-test results for the power and current density data obtained by the cell according to the concentration of the potassium hydroxide solution.

Variable	<i>T</i> (Student's <i>T</i> -test)	<i>p</i> -value
Power	13.55	2.66×10^{-3}
Current density	207.51	4.63×10^{-6}

Through *p*-value, it can be concluded with 95 % confidence that the power and current density obtained with the $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ solution are significantly higher than when $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ was used.




Figure S-6. Power as a function of current density obtained with the unit cell using two concentrations of potassium hydroxide


Evaluation of anticorrosive capacity and a ventilation system

A factorial design 2^2 was carried out whose independent variables were the use of anticorrosive and a ventilation system.

Table S-3. Results of the ANOVA for the determination of the conditions that maximize the power delivered by the unit cell with a current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}

Source of variability	p-value
Anticorrosive (A)	0.000
Ventilation (B)	0.035
A - B	0.030

Through p-value, it is concluded with 95 % confidence that both the two effects and their interaction are significant. Since you want to maximize the response variable (power), you should not use ventilation or add an anticorrosive agent to the electrolyte.



1 Power supply, 2 Fan, 3 Flow director, 4 Connectors, 5 Unit cell, 6 Electrodes, 7 Peristaltic pump, 8 Hose, 9 Storage tank

Figure S-7. Experimental diagram used for assisted ventilation treatments





Figure S-8. Power obtained with the unit cell as a function of current density

Corrosion rate test



1 Beaker 250 mL, 2 Two prong utility clamps, 3 Aluminum alloy, 4 Magnetic stirrer, 5 Retort stand, 6 Tag, 7 Magnetic stirring bar

Figure S-9. Experimental diagram for determination of corrosion rate





Figure S-10. Box diagram for annual corrosion rate of 5052 aluminum A: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$, B: $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$, C: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ ZnO}$, D: $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ ZnO}$, E: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$, F: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$, G: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$, H: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ and I: $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$

Determination of anticorrosive agents




1 Power supply, 2 Unit cell, 3 Connectors, 4 Electrodes, 5 Hose, 6 Peristaltic pump, 7 Storage tank

Figure S-11. Experimental diagram used in the construction of discharge curves

Table S-4. Summary of performance of different battery systems in different electrolytes

Battery system	Current density, mA cm ⁻²	Capacity, mA h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹
0.1 mol L ⁻¹ KOH	3.33	1656.99
		1756.55
		1683.62
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH	0.67	18.62
		22.88
		24.56
	3.33	102.67
		109.59
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 0.2 mol L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	0.67	186.21
		50.55
		54.76
	3.33	63.29
		46.85
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	0.67	60.40
		63.12
		41.80
	3.33	41.37
		40.99
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 1 mol L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	0.67	147.15
		244.92
		178.38
	3.33	58.40
		82.16
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 2 mol L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	0.67	71.98
		653.10
		712.78
	3.33	655.68
		2163.96
4 mol L ⁻¹ KOH + 0.2 mol L ⁻¹ ZnO	0.67	2271.11
		2274.08
		-
	3.33	50.49
		51.03
3.33	61.77	
	344.82	
	355.41	
		376.27

**Figure S-12.** Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ KOH as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm⁻²




Figure S-13. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-14. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-15. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-16. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-17. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-18. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-19. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and 4 mol L⁻¹ KOH + 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄VO₃ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm⁻²




Figure S-20. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and 4 mol L⁻¹ KOH + 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄VO₃ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm⁻²




Figure S-21. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and 4 mol L⁻¹ KOH + 2 mol L⁻¹ NH₄VO₃ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm⁻²




Figure S-22. Discharge curve of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-23. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ ZnO}$ as electrolyte, at current density of 0.67 mA cm^{-2}




Figure S-24. Discharge curves and capacity values of the unit cell using aluminum 5052 as anode and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ ZnO}$ as electrolyte, at current density of 3.33 mA cm^{-2}



Figure S-25. Aluminum 5052 after 100 min of immersion in $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 0.2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ ZnO}$




Figure S-26. Aluminum 5052 after 100 min of immersion in $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} +$ different concentrations of NH_4VO_3 : A - 0.2 mol L^{-1} ; B - 0.5 mol L^{-1} ; C - 1.0 mol L^{-1} and D - 2.0 mol L^{-1}




Figure S-27. Discharge curves of 4-unit-cell arrangement in a) series and b) parallel electrical connection using $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH}$ (red) and $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ (green) as electrolytes




Figure S-28. Discharge curve obtained with 4-unit cells arranged with electrical connection in parallel using $4 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KOH} + 1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4\text{VO}_3$, at current density of 11.11 mA cm^{-2}

References

- [1] X. Han, X. Li, J. White, C. Zhong, Y. Deng, W. Hu, T. Ma, Metal–Air Batteries: From Static to Flow System, *Advanced Energy Materials* **8** (2018) 1081396. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201801396>
- [2] S. H. Yang, H. Knickle, Modeling the performance of an aluminum-air cell, *Journal of Power Sources* **124** (2003) 572-585. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753\(03\)00811-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(03)00811-5)

